

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,927	07/19/2003	Katsutoshi Shibayama	8177	2773
7590 08/02/2004 Woodling, Krost and Rust (Kenneth L. Mitchell)			EXAMINER	
			RIDLEY, RICHARD	
9213 Chillicothe Road Kirtland, OH 44094		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3651	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/622,927	SHIBAYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard Ridley	3651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	he correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u>ugust 2003</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2003 is/are: a)	oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
<i>ر</i> ي ا	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		lication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea					
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not red	ceived.			
Attachme	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔀 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-19-03</u> .		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/622,927

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Use of the term "overlapped" in the claim is unclear and appears inaccurate in that a frame of reference has not been previously established in the claim. What is meant, or rather, in what way do the engagement portions "overlap" each other? Is one on top of the other in terms of elevation?

Claim 1 recites the limitation "when said link is passed through a curved conveying section". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Use of the term "when" renders the claim unclear. Is there a curved section?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,927

Art Unit: 3651

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Palmaer USP 6,484,379.

Palmaer discloses all of the claim limitations in a similar conveyor chain comprising a(n):

- > Plurality of links arranged in rows
- > Top plate (fig. 1 & 4) having a flat top surface & hinge portion
- Flat surface portions (fig. 5) connected to said flat top portion of said top plate, said flat surface portions are extended to said adjacent link (seen in fig. 1)
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horton USP 5,921,379.

Horton discloses all of the claim limitations in a similar conveyor chain comprising a(n):

- > Plurality of links arranged in rows (fig. 3A)
- > Top plate (24) having a flat top surface & hinge portion (34)
- Flat surface portions (fig. 3A) connected to said flat top portion of said top plate, said flat surface portions are extended to said adjacent link

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (703) 306-5910. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:00 am 5:15 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,927

Art Unit: 3651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Ridley 29 July 2004 Richard Ridley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651